

TRUNG TÂM HỖ TRỢ PHÁT TRIỂN VÌ PHỤ NỮ VÀ TRỂ EM

Website: www.dwc.com.vn hoặc www.cmm.com.vn Phone: (+84) 24 66 58 74 74

Địa chỉ: Phòng 414, Mỹ Đình Plaza, 138 Trần Bình, Phường Mỹ Đình 2, Quận Nam Từ Liêm, Hà Nội Address: Room 414, My Dinh Plaza, 138 Tran Binh, My Dinh 2 Ward, Nam Tu Liem District, Ha Noi

Hanoi, February 28, 2023

Terms of Reference

Final Evaluation

Project: Development through participation and ownership in Ngan Son district,

Bac Kan province

(Project code: N-VNM-2020-0151 by BftW)

1. Introduction

The Centre for Promoting Development for Women and Children (DWC) is a non-profit, non-governmental organization established in 2003 according to the decision No 232/QD-KHVN on 21 July 2003 of "Vietnam Association for Promoting Education" — now known as "Vietnam Association for Learning Promotion" (VALP). The overall objective of DWC is to alleviate poverty and improve the quality of life for poor people, especially poor women and children in Vietnam.

The project "Development through participation and ownership in Ngan Son district, Bac Kan province" funded by Bread for the World (BftW) has been implemented in 18 villages of three communes (Duc Van, Thuong An, Coc Dan in Ngan Son district, Bac Kan province) for the period from July 2020 – June 2023.

The following table provides a summary of project information:

Project name	Development through participation and ownership in Ngan Son district,			
	Bac Kan province			
Project Goal	Sustainable village development is improved in Bac Kan province by			
	realizing the community management approach			
Project Objective	Self-management capacity of local community is improved addressing			
	development challenges			
Objective	Indicator 1: By the end of the project, 65 small-scale development			
indicators	projects are successfully self-managed by communities directly concerned			
	(self-managed in terms of planning, implementing, monitoring, and			
	evaluating; a project is considered successfully managed when its			
	objective is achieved).			
	Indicator 2: By the end of the project, agricultural models run by Farmers'			
	Interest Groups (FIGs) applying CM generate a 15% increased average			
	income for 150 FIG member households.			

	Indicator 2. Due the and of the 20d preside year O. FICe develop into			
	Indicator 3: By the end of the 2 nd project year, 8 FIGs develop into			
Partners	cooperative groups certified by the State.			
Partileis	The Coordination Office of NRD program in Bac Kan province and			
T	The People's Committee of Ngan Son district			
Target groups	Ethnic communities in 18 villages of three communes of Ngan Son district,			
	Bac Kan Province			
Beneficiaries	 Direct beneficiaries: 3,080 people (1,600 women/1480 men) in 18 villages, mainly ethnic Tay, Nung and Dao, benefited from awareness raising on self- 			
	management and small-scale development projects.			
	- 120 key community members, including at least 60 (50% women), are			
	trained on the application of the community management approach.			
	- 180 FIG members receive training and coaching on market-oriented			
	production for income generation purposes.			
	- Approximately 25 people (15 men/10 women), including Women's			
	Union leaders at district/commune level and communal Government			
	officials, benefit from community management approach via trainings			
	and dialogues with communities.			
	Indirect beneficiaries:			
	- Approximately 6,200 residents (3,200 women/3,000 men) of the three			
	communes benefit from the small-scale development projects (both			
	small infrastructures and sustainable agriculture models) and			
	awareness raising measures on self-help, gender equality, and			
	community participation strategies.			
Time frame	July 2020 – June 2023			
Intervention	- Capacity building for the key community members of 18 project			
focus	villages on community management (CM) and related topics to			
10000	promote community self-management by practicing implementation			
	of small-scale development projects by themselves			
	- Capacity building and technical support for Farmers' Interest Groups			
	(FIGs) to gain certified status of cooperative groups that will operate			
	effective agricultural business schemes.			
	- Promoting the production and marketing of farmers' non-chemical			
	agricultural products			
Project Donor	Bread for the World - BftW			
Implementation	The Centre for Promoting Development for Women and Children - DWC			
Agency				
-	<u> </u>			

2. Evaluation objective and scope

In the project proposal, it is planned that a final evaluation will be conducted before the project closure. The main purpose of the final evaluation is to independently review the project status, performance and results after 3 years of intervention, compared to the committed indicators in the project document, as well as to evaluate its relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, impact and sustainability. The evaluation should also consider cross cutting issues of gender equality, women empowerment and environmental protection. It will also identify good practices (case studies) and lessons learnt for other similar projects. The findings of the evaluation will be shared to relevant stakeholders, especially local policy makers and BftW.

Key questions

The table below identifies the overarching questions anticipated to be addressed by the project evaluation framework. However, the consultant will be expected to work with the project team to review and revise the evaluation questions as appropriate.

Relevance: The extent to which the project is suited to the priorities of the target groups and to the government policies. It is useful to consider the following questions:

- To what extent is the intervention important for the target group?
- To what extent is the objective of the project still appropriate?
- Are the activities and outputs of the project consistent with the project objective?
- Did the planning and implementation of interventions take the local context into account?
- Do the project's objectives, approach, outputs display continued relevance to the development policies and priorities of the government and the community?
- How appropriate and useful are the indicators described in the project document for monitoring and measuring results?

Effectiveness: A measure of the extent to which the project achieves its objectives, i.e., a comparison of the intended outcomes/outputs with the observed outcome/outputs. It is useful to consider the following questions:

- To what extent were the intended project goal and objective achieved and how?
- What were the major factors influencing the achievement or non-achievement of the objectives?
- To what extent are project target groups satisfied with the project's implemented activities?

Efficiency: To investigate whether the resources (financial, human, and materials) have been used efficiently and effectively for the well-being of the target community.

- Are the project objectives achieved in an economically manner?
- How efficient were small-scale development projects implemented (by CBGs and FIGs) according to community management (CM) procedure (in comparison with other projects implemented at village levels by other programs)?
- To which extent the community and stakeholders participate in planning and implementation of projects interventions?

Impact: The evaluation should be concerned with both intended and unintended impacts. It is useful to consider the following questions:

- What are the positive and negative, intended and unintended changes produced by the project? Analyze the contribution of the project to any observed impact (intended, unintended, positive, negative) and other actors and factors contributing to the impact.
- What real difference has the project made to the beneficiaries in terms of social, economic, political, cultural, and ecological changes, taking into account the gender dimension?
- Whether and to what extent the project will contribute towards the attainment of the long-term objective under development policy in Vietnam?

Sustainability: The probability of continued long-term benefits to the target populations after the project has been completed. This might include the resource and capacity of partners or

beneficiaries to continue the intervention after the project phased out. It is useful to consider the following questions:

- To what extent are the benefits of the project likely to continue once donor funding has ceased?
- What were the major factors, which influenced the achievement or non-achievement of sustainability of the project?
- Are there evidences that local authorities and key community members will continue CM approach after the project end?

Gender, inclusion and women empowerment: the extent to which the project applied gender and inclusion sensitive approaches and explicitly aimed for results that improve gender equality and women empowerment.

Environment protection: How and to what extent has the project contributed towards protection of the environment?

3. Methodology

The final evaluation of the project will adopt a participatory approach, allowing the participation of all stakeholders and communities in the assessment process. This is to ensure that the opinions of different stakeholders are fully reflected, especially those of the vulnerable groups. The consultant will apply qualitative research methodologies using primary and secondary data sources and data triangulation to increase the validity of evaluation findings.

The evaluation will involve the following stakeholders:

- DWC in Hanoi;
- Local partners involved in implementing the project at different levels:
 - ✓ Beneficiaries in project villages
 - Members of the local Project Management Board (PMB) at district, communal and village levels
 - ✓ Authorities at communal levels (People's Committee, Women Union...)
 - ✓ Village heads and key community members of selected project villages
 - Members of different groups (Key Members Groups, Community Based Groups, Farmers' Interest Groups...).

4. Key activities and timeframe of the evaluation:

The end of project evaluation is expected to take place in May 2023. An indicative timeframe is as follows:

Activities	Estimated No	Timeline
	of days	
Preparatory work (desk study)	2	
Development of and consensus on		4 th week of April
methodologies, timeframe and report format		
Detail evaluation design and tools	1	
Working with DWC team at DWC office in	1	
Hanoi and travelling to Ngan Son, Bac Kan		2 nd week of May

Data collection at the field: district, communes,	5	
villages, (in-depth interviews, group		
discussions, visits to sub-projects, etc.)		
Feedback workshop with representative of	1	
local people/local authorities/DWC (at Ngan		
Son district) and travel back to Hanoi		
Debriefing at DWC in Hanoi, presentation of	0.5	3 rd week of May
main findings		
Data analysis and report writing (in	4.0	
Vietnamese)		
First draft report to DWC for comments		22 nd May
Final report to DWC (in Vietnamese)	1.5	26 th May
Final report to DWC (in English)	2.0	30 th May
Total number of working days	18.0	

5. Expected Deliverables

The following products are to be provided to DWC on time:

- 1. Evaluation design detailing the sampling framework, data collection plan, methodologies, proposed format of the report (in Vietnamese).
- 2. A presentation of findings to DWC (in Vietnamese).
- 3. Draft Evaluation Report (in Vietnamese) The consultant will prepare a draft evaluation report with the agreed format. The report will be submitted to DWC who will provide feedback within 05 working days after receiving the Draft Report.
- 4. Final Evaluation Report (in Vietnamese and English): including main report and related Annexes. The main report should not exceed 25 pages.

6. Selection criteria / Required skills and competence

The evaluator is expected to **be a professional** with relevant education and working experience. Following are the required skills and competencies expected from the selected applicant for conducting this evaluation:

Education:

✓ Advanced degree in relevant discipline (e.g., social science, development, social studies, sociology, political science, etc.)

Professional experience:

- ✓ Proven capacity and extensive experience in program evaluation in a development context
- ✓ International experience working with ethnic minorities is an advantage.

Knowledge and skills:

- ✓ Knowledge of evaluation;
- ✓ Knowledge in results-based programming;
- ✓ Extensive knowledge and skills in qualitative evaluation methods;
- ✓ Excellent in written and spoken English;
- ✓ Ability to work with project staff and stakeholders at different levels (in Vietnamese);

✓ A strong commitment to delivering timely and high-quality results.

7. Responsibility of DWC

- ✓ Provide related project documents;
- ✓ Obtain permits of local authorities for the field visits;
- ✓ Assign relevant staff to accompany the evaluator during field visits;
- ✓ Arrange logistics for the field visits to Ngan Son district, Bac Kan province (transportation, food and accommodation).

8. How to Apply:

Interested consultants are requested to send CV and a 3-page proposal including cost estimate (in Vietnamese) to DWC before **March 15th**, **2023** via all following emails:

- Bui Thi Kim DWC Director: <u>dwc.buithikim@gmail.com</u>
- Pham Xuan Hai DWC Deputy Director: dwc.haipham@gmail.com
- Nguyen Thi Thanh Xuan Project Accountant: xuannguyen.dwc@gmail.com
- Le Van Viet Project Coordinator: leviet.pcmm@gmail.com

Bui Thi Kim - DWC Director